



Protecting clean water, healthy communities, and the Boundary Waters
206 E. Sheridan Street, P.O. Box 625, Ely, Minnesota 55731 • nmworg.org • 218.365.7808

THE SCIENCE UPDATED: Copper/Nickel Mining Threat to Aquatic and Terrestrial Ecosystems of the South Kawishiwi River Area (Minnesota), Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness (Minnesota), Voyageurs National Park (Minnesota), and Quetico Provincial Park (Ontario)

November 2014

Tom Myers, PhD, in his December 3, 2013, report entitled “Technical Memorandum: Twin Metals Mining and the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, Risk Assessment for Underground Metals Mining,” focused on essential facts demonstrating why copper/nickel mining from sulfide ores threatens irreparable harm to priceless northern forest ecosystems (NMW’s parenteticals explain why facts identified by Dr. Myers are significant):

- Waters that would receive acid mine drainage (AMD) are currently of extremely high quality (therefore, pollution caused by mining would degrade an increasingly rare resource).
- The waters that would receive AMD contain few base compounds (therefore, natural buffering of AMD will be extremely low).
- The many streams, wetlands, lakes, and aquifers downstream of the mine sites are massively interconnected (therefore, damage from AMD will be widespread and uncontrollable).
- Mine sites lie in close proximity to these water resources (therefore, preventing AMD from entering the waters is impossible).
- The high probability of AMD coming from the mine sites, waste rock piles, and tailings pond failures (no practical possibility exists of preventing air, rainwater, and snowmelt from reaching sulfide-bearing rock).
- The difficulty of predicting when and where AMD may occur because of the nature of cracks and faults in the bedrock (therefore, no practical possibility exists of effectively blocking AMD movement through the ground).
- The potential that AMD could impact waters far downstream from the mine sites (therefore, major waterways of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, Quetico Provincial Park, and Voyageurs National Park would be threatened).
- The high cost and low probability of remediating AMD when it occurs.
- River discharge and aquifer recharge are highly seasonal, with the bulk of it occurring between mid-April and mid-June (therefore, drawdowns of surface water and groundwater by mining activity outside that period of higher flows will deplete water available in the affected ecosystems at times when the flows are already at annual low points).

Dr. Myers has now developed a model for the Rainy Headwaters that shows the flow of ground and surface water and the transport of contaminants. The Rainy Headwaters includes the South Kawishiwi, Birch Lake, and Stony River watersheds. The purpose of the model is to estimate pathways and rates for contaminants to reach the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness if sulfide-ore mining occurs within these watersheds. The model can be used to estimate the risk of mine spills and leaks into the Boundary

Waters Canoe Area Wilderness and the lakes and rivers between the proposed mines and the Wilderness. Dr. Myers modeled three scenarios for underground mines and a proposed concentration facility in the South Kawishiwi and Birch Lake watersheds (Twin Metals mine proposal).

- First scenario: a conservative release of a pollutant (sulfate) from five locations in underground mines using a realistic concentration of sulfate for closed and flooded underground mines in the Duluth Complex and a low discharge rate.
- Second scenario: a one-year release to groundwater from mine waste stored on the surface in the watershed, representing a range of releases that can occur.
- Third scenario: a mid-level spill at the Maturi mine site and at the proposed concentration facility site.
- Scenarios one and two (releases) show that substantial contaminant loads from leaks typical of sulfide-ore mining could impact waters that drain into the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.
 - Once started, leaks would continue for decades (even a short-term spill would take years or decades to travel through groundwater) and would thus likely coincide with low flow periods and could create devastating impacts.
 - Contaminants entering the rivers and lakes during low flow conditions have a high potential to impact water in the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.
 - Historically, it has been almost impossible to stop leaks.
 - If the sulfide mines are developed in the Rainy Headwaters, it is not a question of whether, but when, a leak will occur that will have major impacts on the water quality of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.
 - Leaks would have a significant impact on streams, rivers, wetlands, rivers, and other waters adjacent to the sulfide-ore mine sites and in the Superior National Forest.
 - Pollution from sulfide-ore mines in these areas would extend into the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.
- Scenario three (a spill at the proposed concentration plant) could devastate adjoining lakes, rivers, and streams in the Superior National Forest.
- A spill could have a devastating impact on the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness, depending on level of toxicity, load, and location.

Dr. Myers recently extended his analysis to consider the risks posed to Voyageurs National Park from the development of mining leases in the watersheds draining to and through it, including the Rainy Headwaters, Vermillion Lake, and Rainy River-Rainy Lake Watersheds. In his October 24th, 2014, draft technical memo to the Voyageurs National Park Association, Dr. Myers reported:

- Streams draining toward Voyageurs National Park have high water quality and would be affected by small amounts of contamination for a long time.
- The low buffering capacity of the rivers in the Rainy Headwaters watershed could allow contamination from mining waste to be transported a long distance downstream, including to Voyageurs National Park.
- Contaminant seepage into groundwater could last for decades, and there would be little chance of preventing the pollution from reaching Voyageurs National Park.
- Mercury contamination is already present in Voyageurs National Park, and AMD seepage over a long time period would likely exacerbate the mercury problem.
- A spill in the Vermillion Watershed could pose critical threats to Voyageurs National Park, especially if the waste flowed through Vermillion Lake instead of mixing.
- Discharge or leaking of high salinity water resulting from mine dewatering could ruin nearby streams and increase total dissolved solids from the Kawishiwi River to Voyageurs National Park.
- Development of tailings impoundments presents a large risk to Voyageurs National Park, especially considering their proximity to the river system, the need for a tailings impoundment to not fail

forever, the connectivity of the surface waters in the watershed, and increases in extreme weather events due to climate change.

Lawrence A. Baker, PhD, in his November 24, 2013 report entitled “Potential Ecological Impacts of the Twin Metals Mine,” stated the following conclusions, among others:

- “The potential impacts of the mine are high because this is a very large mine located in an ecologically sensitive area.”
- Fish in these waters are sensitive to acidification; even small changes in pH caused by AMD would result in losses of species. If pH were to decline below 5.0 most species would be lost.
- Leaching of heavy metals associated with AMD impairs fish and other aquatic life.
- Because wild rice is harmed or destroyed by sulfate levels greater than 10 mg/L, and because background sulfate levels in these waters are around 6 mg/L, elevated sulfate levels associated with sulfide-ore mining would likely impair wild rice production. It may also harm other rooted aquatic plants.
- Sulfates are a factor in the creation of methyl mercury, which is the form of mercury taken up in the food chain. The increased methylation would increase the concentrations of mercury in fish and thus expose human and other consumers of fish to the risks of elevated levels of mercury in their bodies.
- AMD input and elevated sulfate levels would affect the natural cycling of phosphorus between sediments and waters. The release of phosphorus to waters would cause increased algal growth, loss of water clarity, and eutrophication.
- AMD and associated contamination may affect a large number of shallow, easily contaminated domestic drinking wells in the area.
- Tailings dam failures, because of an extreme weather event, human error, or other reason, pose a serious risk to downstream ecosystems; the downstream distribution of acidic water and heavy-metals contaminated sediments would contaminate the waters for many kilometers. Damage caused by metal-laden sediments would persist for years.

Lee Frelich, PhD, has determined that significant negative impacts of sulfide-ore mining would likely occur on terrestrial ecosystems of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness and adjacent areas of the Superior National Forest. Those impacts include, among others:

- Forest fragmentation within the mining area, the effects of which would extend into the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness.
 - Forest fragmentation results in changes to both plant and wildlife communities. Of particular concern are species such as fisher, pine marten, and interior forest songbirds that need large tracts of unfragmented forest.
- Disruption of the intricate web of water that flows through the soil, forest roots, and other organisms that lie on top of bedrock throughout the Quetico-Superior ecosystem. Significant additive and cumulative impacts would occur because of hundreds of disruptions of water flow by the construction of roads, waste storage, and water drawdown.
 - Interference with the interaction between forests, streams, and groundwater.
 - Interference with the flow and chemistry of the water in this system, resulting in changes in decomposition and nutrient cycling, changes in balance of vegetation types, and tree mortality.

- Because of the interconnectedness of the systems, activities outside the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness would have impacts within the Wilderness.
- Dispersal of invasive plant species, which would extend beyond the actual surface disturbance from mines and transportation.
- Dispersal of nonnative earthworms, which are present but not yet well-established in the area.
 - The movement of soils associated with mining would very likely spread nonnative earthworms to areas not currently infested, and fragmentation would speed their movement across the landscape. Earthworms can trigger a variety of impacts with a wide range of ecological repercussions.
- Loss of native biodiversity.
 - Soil dwelling species that have not yet been discovered, including insects, worms, bacteria, and fungi, probably exist in the mining area and could be lost both within the mining footprint and beyond.
- Effects of a vast increase in traffic, including heavy truck traffic, close to the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness that mining would entail. For example, road salt is likely to cause root damage and tree death for species such as white pine, basswood, red oak, bur oak, and red maple. Other impacts of roads on ecosystems and wildlife are well-known.
- Changes in wildlife migration and habitat use patterns.
- Effects of windblown dust and other forms of air pollution.
- Additive and synergistic effects of mining with the effects of climate change.
 - Mining would add a significant stressor to a system that will already be stressed, perhaps beyond the point of resiliency.
- Loss to science; the Boundary Waters Canoe Area Wilderness provides a baseline for a landscape otherwise affected by logging, mining, roads, housing, and other human activities.
 - “This role of wilderness and other natural areas as a scientific baseline has become critical in the last few decades, to assess the overall impacts of human activity at local, regional and global scales. Without these baselines, we are essentially ‘flying blind’ in our ability to manage ecosystems to provide the many types of services needed by humanity.”

Earthworks, U.S. Copper Porphyry Mines: The Track Record of Water Quality Impacts Resulting from Pipeline Spills, Tailings Failures, and Water Collection and Treatment Failures, July 2012 (Revised November 2012)

- Peer-reviewed report that studied fourteen copper sulfide mines representing 89% of U.S. copper production found that 100% of the mines studied had experienced pipeline spills or other accidental releases, and 92% (13 of 14) had experienced water collection and treatment failures resulting in significant effects on water quality.
- Leaks occur at all mines; although the likelihood of a particular type of leak cannot be predetermined (and this is true even with a detailed, comprehensive mine plan), the probability of some type of leak is high.

Assumptions for the assessments by Dr. Myers, Dr. Baker, and Dr. Frelich:

- Underground mines would be developed in accordance with the 2014 Technical Report 43-101, prepared for Duluth Metals, Inc.
- Mine would have state-of-the-art methods, facilities, and management practices.
- Studies addressed impacts and risks that are likely to occur regardless of changes in mine plans.